SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

FRED S. SILVERMAN JUDGE

NEW CASTLE COUNTY COURTHOUSE 500 North King Street, Suite 10400 Wilmington, DE 19801-3733 Telephone (302) 255-0669

August 27, 2010

(VIA E-FILED)

Richard H. Morse, Esquire Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP The Brandywine Building, 17th Floor 1000 West Street P.O. Box 391 Wilmington, DE 19899

Mary E. Sherlock, Esquire Weber, Gallagher, Simpson, Stapleton, Fires & Newby, LLP 8 The Green, Suite 4 Dover, Delaware 19901

> RE: Cherie Jacques v. Lacrosse Homes, Inc. C.A. No. 08C-03-031 FSS

Dear Counsel:

This decides Defendant's Motion for Costs. As Plaintiff points out, this was a Defendant's verdict. Accordingly, Superior Court Civil Rule 68 does not apply.¹

As to discretionary costs, Plaintiff undeniably tripped and fell on

¹See Hercules, Inc. v. AIU Ins. Co., 784 A.2d 481, 509 (Del. 2001) (citing Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. August, 450 U.S. 346, 352 (1981); Roberts v. Bullard, 1998 WL 960701 (Del. Super. Dec. 22, 1998) (Quillen, J.)) ("Rule 68 does not authorize an award of costs unless the plaintiff obtains a judgment that is 'not more favorable' than the offer. . . . [W]here . . . the plaintiff obtains no judgment from the defendant seeking costs (i.e., judgment is for the defendant), Rule 68 does not apply.").

Richard H. Morse, Esquire Mary E. Sherlock, Esquire Cherie Jacques v. Lacrosse Homes, Inc. C.A. No. 08C-03-031 FSS Letter/Order August 27, 2010 Page 2

Defendant's property and was seriously injured. While the "zero verdict" made sense, so did Plaintiff's filing suit. The court is also mindful that Plaintiff turned down a \$30,000 offer of judgment. Nevertheless, Plaintiff does not appear to have assets. Taking all of that and the evidence presented at trial into account, Defendant must be satisfied with the verdict.

For the foregoing reasons, Defendant's Motion for Costs is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Fred S. Silverman

FSS:mes

oc: Prothonotary (Civil)